

19.

~~39.~~ A method of surveillance, comprising the steps of:  
monitoring a zone of surveillance to detect an existence of  
an undesirable presence;  
generating an infrared coded signal in response to said  
undesirable presence;

performing a specific function in response to said infrared  
coded signal; and

*B1*  
*ConQ*  
generating an image of said zone of surveillance, wherein  
said specific function is to control a recording device in order  
to record said image.

20.

~~40.~~ The method of Claim ~~39~~ <sup>19</sup>, wherein a plurality of zones  
of surveillance are monitored and a plurality of images are  
generated corresponding to each zone of surveillance, wherein  
said images are selected for recording by said recording device.

#### REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the  
Official Action mailed August 8, 1996. Applicant respectfully  
requests reconsideration and favorable action in this case.

Claims 21-40 stand rejected under the judicially created  
doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being  
unpatentable over commonly owned U.S. Patent No. 5,398,057 in  
view of Rodriguez. Attached herewith is a terminal disclaimer  
to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.  
Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 21-40 are  
in condition for allowance.

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place this case  
in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for  
other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests  
full allowance of Claims 21-40.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker & Botts, L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,  
BAKER & BOTTS, L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Applicant



Charles S. Fish  
Reg. No. 35,870

2001 Ross Avenue  
Dallas, Texas 75201-2980  
(214) 953-6507  
November 5, 1996